

## **Board effectiveness scale**

Effectiveness	Interpretation
Undoubted [95%+ sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are beyond reproach and fine-tuned to achieve company goals without fail. Stakeholders have experienced that their interests are considered paramount throughout, and there is no discernible doubt for the future. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus less than 5%.
Extremely effective [85%+ sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are fine-tuned to achieve company goals almost without fail; the application is of an extremely high standard and has become a culture. Stakeholders are assured beyond reasonable doubt that their interests are judged extremely important in every case. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus less than 15%.
Very effective [70%+ sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are very well positioned to achieve company goals consistently; the application is of a very high standard and has become almost second nature. Stakeholders have the <a href="strong-assurance">strong-assurance</a> that their interests are judged very important in almost all cases. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus less than 30%.
Effective [50% + sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are well positioned to achieve company goals; application is of a high standard and diligence. Stakeholders have the assurance that their interests are judged important in the majority of cases. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus less than 50%.
Fairly effective [35%+ sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are fairly well positioned to achieve company goals; application is of a fairly good standard. Stakeholders have a <a href="reasonable assurance">reasonable assurance</a> that their interests are judged fairly important in many instances. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus up to 65%.
Partially effective [20%+ sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are less well positioned and executed; application is of a somewhat low standard. Stakeholders have <a href="mailto:some assurance">some assurance</a> that their interests are judged worthy of consideration over time. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus up to 80%.
Ineffective [5%+ sure]	The Board composition, experience, knowledge levels, role clarity, focus, openness, participation, decision-taking, rule compliance and self-correction are not well positioned or executed; overall effectiveness is of a doubtful standard. Stakeholders have <u>no assurance</u> that their interests are judged worthy of consideration. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus up to 95%.
In breach [0% sure]	The Board has demonstrated an approach that <u>shows a lack</u> of commitment to make itself effective in aligning its actions with the aims of its stakeholders. Doubt that the Board will be effective in everything it does is thus 100%.